COPY
LABLE
AVA
BEST

			,	
	AOR - 3 1998 4/3/98	Patent and Tradema Address: COMMISS	EMATMENT OF COINT OF COINT OF COINT OF COINT OF PATENTS	
		IED APPLICANT	ATTOR	NEY DOCKET NO4
	08/182,183 05/23/94 LIN	•:		
	HM21/0 U.S.PATENT OPERATIONS/DRC M/S 10-1-8-431 AMGEN INC. ONE AMGEN CENTER DRIVE THOUSAND OAKS CA 91320-1789	1330	ART UNIT 45	PAPER NUMBER
	•	DATE	MAILED:	- nav
.	•		,	. 1
100	Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in cha	arge of this application		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRA	DEMARKS .	Previous A.Rev_ Non —	V dorkota ~
	ADVISORY A	ACTION	A. Rev_	4/11/98
	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		, /vott =	6/11/98
1		7		
1	is extended to run or continues to run	3mo from th	e date of the final reje	ection
	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of event however, will the statutory period for the response expire Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have purposes of determining the period of extension and the correst 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shorter	atter than six months from 37 CFR 1.136(a), the per filed is the date of ponding amount of the fe	m the date of the fina proposed response and the response and als	I rejection. If the appropriate fee. To the date for the
1	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).			•
} -	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3/5/98	. has been considered wi	th the following effect	, but it is not deemed
	to place the application in condition for allowance:			
	1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will	I not be entered and the	final rejection stands	because:
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) w presented.	thy the proposed amendr	nent is necessary and	f was not earlier
V -	b: They raise new issues that would require further considers	ation and/or search. (See	e Note).	•
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).		÷	
	 d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal. 	rm for appeal by materia	lly reducing or simplify	ing the issues for
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corres	sponding number of finall	y rejected claims.	
- -	NOTE: All prior advisory lipe Bulynission was mailed To the amendment FAI ed	in lutes	uled 3/19/ gidenlecal	in content
[]				
	Newly proposed or amended claims would the proposed or amended claims would	be allowed if submitted in	n a separately filed ar	nendment cancelling

Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🗌 will be entered 🔲 will not be entered and the status of the claims will

1118-120 135, 150 19-157

4. The affidavit; exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because

Claims objected to: _ Claims rejected:

presented.

A IREV SAO

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.

5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier PRIMARY EXAMINER